

# Reich

by Joachim Whaley

The term "Reich" is found in a variety of European languages and has several applications in German. "Das Reich" is different, however, and it derives its suggestive force from a combination of secular and religious sources. This German "Reich" – or the Altes "Reich" as it is commonly referred to by modern historians in order to distinguish it from the German "Reich" of 1871 – played a central role in European history from the Middle Ages until its dissolution in 1806. Thereafter its legacy periodically continued to inspire and preoccupy groups of all political persuasions into the late 20th century.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Origins
2. The Medieval Reich
3. The Early Modern Reich
4. The Legacy of the Reich
5. Appendix
  1. Literature
  2. Notes

Indices

Citation

## Origins

The German *Reich* or Holy Roman Empire claimed descent from the Roman Empire, which its emperors viewed as the overarching world order, the *imperium* of which the various parts could never be more than *regna* or kingdoms. After 380 the Roman Empire was also a Christian empire. The notion of the *imperium* was consequently further enriched by the idea derived from the Book of Daniel in the Old Testament that the world was currently in the last of four empires spanning the history of the entire world. The *imperium* was thus a divinely sanctioned world order.<sup>1</sup>

▲ 1

## The Medieval Reich

By the time the Roman Empire fell into terminal decline in the 6th and 7th centuries these grand claims were in reality worth very little. The inability of the last emperors even to defend Rome led the papacy to appeal to the Frankish rulers in the west, though the popes had little more to offer than the old Roman titles and dignities. At first, again, they meant very little in practice. But after Charlemagne (747–814) (→ Media Link #ab), successive inheritances and conquests led to the emergence during the 10th century of a German monarchy based on the three kingdoms of Germany, Burgundy, and Italy. The *imperium* thus comprised three *regna*, and its rulers were designated protectors of the papacy and claimed a kind of stewardship over the Church. This set them apart from the monarchs who emerged elsewhere during the Middle Ages. The German emperors were *advocatus ecclesiae*; the French kings were merely *rex christianissimus*, the Spanish simply *rex catholicus*.<sup>2</sup>

▲ 2

In fact the Emperors were unable to assert lasting control over their kingdoms. By the mid-13th century, when the Hohenstaufen dynasty died out, very little remained of any imperium. Burgundy had more or less completely gone; the kingdom of Italy had shrunk to a small number of insecurely held fiefdoms in the north. Stewardship over the church

was rendered almost meaningless by the perennial disputes that ran between popes and emperors over whose authority took precedence. Did the temporal sword come before the spiritual one, or was it the other way round? Even in the German kingdom, the position of the crown was weakened by the progressive alienation of crown lands as successive emperors tried to raise money or buy supporters in their losing battle to assert themselves in the face of the powerful German dukes and princes. Furthermore, after the end of the Hohenstaufen dynasty, the Imperial succession was more or less continually disputed.<sup>3</sup> At one time there were no less than three rival emperors, none of whom had any real power.

▲3

Nationalist historians in Germany in the 19th and first half of the 20th century believed that the end of what they called the *Kaiserzeit* marked the beginning of six centuries of decline in German history.<sup>4</sup> No dynasty emerged that was capable of imposing its will on Germany to create a strong and unified state. Then the Reformation (→ Media Link #ac) created the religious division of Germany in the early 16th century. German or Imperial institutions were progressively paralysed and the German lands were subjected to varying forms and degrees of foreign influence. Finally the *Reich* collapsed ignominiously in the last decade of the 18th century and was dissolved in 1806.

▲4

Nationalist scholars lamented the failure of the *Reich* in the later Middle Ages to become the kind of strong national state that the 19th century believed was essential for the preservation and promotion of the national interests. In fact, however, something altogether different and more interesting emerged. Gradually an enduring balance of powers between the Emperor and the German estates was established. The term *Kaiser und Reich* came to stand for a mutually dependent relationship and for the system of political compromise to which it gave rise.<sup>5</sup>

▲5

The system was moulded by the crises of the 15th century.<sup>6</sup> Internally, problems of law and order often threatened to plunge the *Reich* into anarchy. Externally, a series of threats – the Hussites in the 1420s and 1430s, the kings of France and the dukes of Burgundy and finally the Turks and the Hungarians from the 1460s – underlined the inability of the German princes to defend their community adequately. Both Sigismund I (1467–1548) (→ Media Link #ad) and Frederick III (1415–1493) (→ Media Link #ae) were essentially absentee Emperors, more preoccupied with the defence of their own personal lands than with the *Reich*. Meanwhile, however, the gatherings of his vassals gradually evolved into a *Reichstag*, which became the embodiment of the political nation. And the growing sense of solidarity amongst the estates was reinforced by the requests for financial and military assistance that the Habsburg emperors made after 1438. On the one hand their peripheral location in the east of the *Reich* prevented them from ever becoming a national monarchy. On the other hand their enduring attraction as German rulers lay in the fact that they were just powerful enough to shoulder the main part of the burden of defending the *Reich* against both the Turks (→ Media Link #af) and the French.

▲6

## The Early Modern *Reich*

The new political realities were formalised in constitutional arrangements negotiated during the reign of Maximilian I (1459–1519) (→ Media Link #ag) after 1493.<sup>7</sup> These represented a compromise between new imperial ambitions and the interests of the German princes. Maximilian devoted much of his life to an attempt to restore the empire to what he believed to be its rightful extent. Having inherited the duchy of Burgundy on the death of Charles the Bold of Burgundy (1433–1477) (→ Media Link #ah) in 1477 he was well placed to do so. By the time he became Emperor in 1493 he was well on the way to establishing his position in two sets of hereditary lands. In the south-east he had the traditional Habsburg *Erblände*, with claims to both the Bohemian and Hungarian crowns. In the west his Burgundian inheritance complemented the older Habsburg lands in Alsace, to form an extended block of territories from the Sundgau in the south to the Low Countries in the north. From that position of strength, he planned to vanquish the Turks, to re-establish

the Italian kingdom and gain control over the papacy and Venice, and even to restore the old medieval kingdom of Burgundy starting with the re-conquest of Provence. These ambitions involved him in almost continuous wars with France, which wanted parts of Burgundy and also to establish hegemony over northern Italy.

▲ 7

Maximilian depended on Germany for both money and men, which required the consent of the *Reichstag*. In appealing for regular taxes and military levies the emperor was in effect proposing a major constitutional innovation. The German estates countered with a series of proposals designed to curb royal aspirations and safeguard peace and stability within the *Reich*. Neither side got exactly what it wanted. The Emperor failed to enlarge his prerogative powers, in particular the right to levy taxes or to raise a German army. The estates failed to establish a *Reichsregiment* or central governing body of their own, largely independent of the emperor. At the same time the dualist system of *Kaiser und Reich* was reaffirmed and the right to legislate, to tax and to levy armies was tied explicitly to the agreement of that dual entity in the *Reichstag*. Furthermore a perpetual public peace was agreed. In order to regulate it an imperial court of justice (*Reichskammergericht*) was set up and regional *Kreise* were subsequently organised to enforce its judgements.

▲ 8

The compromises reached in the years 1495 to 1512 created a constitutional framework for the German *Reich* that lasted until it was dissolved in 1806. Its final form was only achieved in the Peace of Westphalia in 1648 (→ Media Link #ai). Yet the foundations were laid around 1500 for what became an enduring *Rechts-, Verteidigungs- und Friedensordnung* – a system of law (→ Media Link #aj), defence and peace in central Europe. It ensured the survival of the hundreds of small German territories, most of which would have been incapable of survival as independent units in the competitive world of the European powers. It both provided these territories with protection from external threat and served to prevent conflict between them. It was thus predicated on the principle of non-aggression and collective self-defence. Finally, as a *Rechtsordnung*, the Empire developed mechanisms to secure the rights both of rulers and, more extraordinarily, of subject against ruler. Its judicial institutions contributed to the evolution of a legal culture (→ Media Link #ak) unprecedented elsewhere in Europe.<sup>8</sup>

▲ 9

The early modern *Reich* was unique as a system characterised by collective-corporate representative and decision-making mechanisms. From the *Reichstag* down to the *Kreise*, decisions were made collectively. Where the older nationalist tradition saw a hopelessly archaic system that rarely made decisions at all, modern research has revealed a system in which consensus was regarded as the highest good.<sup>9</sup> Decisions were generally reached painfully slowly, because all the estates had a voice, and often decisions were never reached at all, since the agreement of all was a precondition for a binding resolution.<sup>10</sup>

▲ 10

Of course the *Reich*'s activities were not always very effective. 16th-century attempts to regulate currency, for example, or even to create a single currency failed completely. Yet the solidarity of the German estates survived the religious divisions of the Reformation. Indeed the experience of dealing with the problems thrown up by the religious issue strengthened their attachment to the *Reich*. There were, of course, also periods in which the system broke down, notably during the Thirty Years War. But in that experience Germany is perhaps not unlike France, the Netherlands and England. For three centuries after 1500, the *Reich* both preserved the variety of the German estates and maintained their collective solidarity.

▲ 11

As a term denoting a functioning political system, *Reich* came to mean the German *Reich* only. Yet the word retained a variety of other meanings into the 18th century.<sup>11</sup> The Emperors still claimed the status of *advocatus ecclesiae*. For many Catholics, *Reich* stood for a universal, Christian world order, for which emperor and pope held joint responsibility. Obviously the Reformation and the internal division of Christendom weakened that claim. Protestant commentators increasingly argued that the *Reich* did not in fact have any Roman origins at all and claimed that it was a purely

German, though Christian empire because the Germans had proved themselves the only worthy defenders of the faith. By the 18th century the question of origins was less important than the consensus among both Protestant and Catholic commentators that the *Reich* was a federation of princes. This polity was commonly referred to simply as "das Reich" or "Deutsches Reich", or even simply "Deutschland". However, some theorists still maintained that the extended *Reich* of the 12th and 13th centuries continued to exist as a network of feudal ties that gave the emperor continuing overlordship over northern Italy, Savoy, Burgundy, Lorraine and Bohemia. Finally, in daily usage, *Reich* could refer specifically to the south west of Germany and Franconia, the areas where the medieval emperors had their crown lands. Even in the 18th century Prussians, Saxons or even Austrians spoke about travelling "into the Reich" when they went to those parts.<sup>12</sup>

▲ 12

But the German *Reich* and its system formed the core. It was not a state in the modern understanding of that term; indeed it lacked many of the key attributes of a state, such as a central government or even a capital city. Nevertheless it was this system to which the suffix "deutscher Nation" came to be attached during the formative period around 1500: informally at first, but then formally incorporated in the title of the *Reich* in 1512.<sup>13</sup>

▲ 13

## The Legacy of the *Reich*

The Holy Roman Empire was destroyed in 1806 and no serious attempt was ever made to revive it. Yet, to this day, many commentators persist in imputing lines of continuity between the first *Reich* and the (second) *Kaiserreich* and the Third *Reich*. Such claims were indeed often made by disgruntled nationalist groups who aspired at various times to return Germany to the greatness they imagined it had enjoyed under the Hohenstaufen. Romantic conservatives and nationalists in the early 19th century, *grossdeutsch* propagandists in German and Austria in the late 19th century, advocates of an *Anschluss* between Germany and Austria after 1918, and historians convinced of the renewed relevance of the *Reichsidee* after 1933, all invoked the first *Reich*, especially its Hohenstaufen era (→ Media Link #an), to justify their claims for the present and hopes for the future.

▲ 14

Until very recently historians have overlooked the extent to which the early modern *Reich* continued to play a role in the thinking of many Germans for several generations after 1806. This was undoubtedly a period characterised by a fascination with medieval ruins and by an interest in both Catholic and Protestant ideas of restoring the "wholeness" of Christianity, as it has allegedly existed in the Middle Ages (→ Media Link #ao). Yet the notion that the early modern *Reich* disappeared without notice was a later nationalist myth.<sup>14</sup> In reality much of the discussion about the future of Germany revolved around arguments about how to restore the Old *Reich* of the 18th century while at the same time recognising the permanence of the geographical changes wrought since 1804 by French wars (→ Media Link #ap) and by Napoleon (1769–1821) (→ Media Link #aq).<sup>15</sup> After 1815, liberal critics of the new German Confederation lamented the fact that it did not have a supreme court, like the *Reichskammergericht* and the *Reichshofrat*, which guaranteed the rights and liberties of subjects against the tyrannical will of their rulers.<sup>16</sup> The sense of belonging to a wider German nation, including Austria, based on the principles that developed in the *Reich* from the 15th to the early 19th century, remained present in the various choral and gymnastics societies that played such a central role in the national movement after 1815 (→ Media Link #ar). It was also evident on such occasions as the commemoration of the centenary of Friedrich Schiller's (1759–1805) (→ Media Link #as) birth in 1859 (→ Media Link #at).<sup>17</sup>

▲ 15

There was no real continuity between the first and the second and third *Reich*. The second *Reich* never referred to itself as such: formally, it was the *Deutsches Reich* and neither its title nor its official propaganda made reference to the first *Reich*. Indeed Otto von Bismarck (1815–1898) (→ Media Link #au) explicitly said that the Prussian-German *Reich* had nothing to do with the Holy Roman Empire. Despite this elements of the old thinking about the *Reich* survived and Wilhelm II (1859–1941) (→ Media Link #av) and his circle liked to invoke the medieval Hohenstaufen period as an

antecedent of the present. Yet these historical "memories" were essentially myths and they were increasingly mixed with new notions of empire derived from the British and French colonial experience (→ Media Link #aw) and ideas of *Weltpolitik* that proliferated from the 1890s (→ Media Link #ax).<sup>18</sup>

▲ 16

The 1920s, in which the Weimar Republic retained the formal title of *Deutsches Reich*, saw periodic waves of interest in an *Anschluss* between the German and Austrian republics (→ Media Link #ay). In the debates about this proposition references abounded to *Grossdeutschland* and to the Old *Reich* in which that had last been a reality.

▲ 17

Adolf Hitler (1889–1945) (→ Media Link #az) and the National Socialist regime aimed to transform the *Deutsches Reich* into a *Germanisches Reich*.<sup>19</sup> Yet despite the eclectic references to the German past made by Hitler and his colleagues, his main inspiration seems to have been the Roman Empire.<sup>20</sup> Indeed, Hitler had nothing but contempt for the Holy Roman Empire and not much more respect for the *Kaiserreich* and its political leaders. In 1939 he even tried to ban the use of the term "Third Reich" to prevent the comparisons that some liked to make with the first and second *Reich*.<sup>21</sup> It is true that after the outbreak of war the regime began to encourage the propagation of views that proclaimed that Hitler was about to turn the *Reichsidee* into practice and to secure the future of the German people. Yet this was perhaps testimony to the regime's cynical pragmatism rather than to any genuine conversion. Neither the racial (→ Media Link #b0) nor the spatial (→ Media Link #b1) dimensions of the Nazi Holy Roman Empire of the Germanic Nation bore any relation to the reality and ideals of the former Holy Roman Empire of the German Nation.

▲ 18

After 1945 the very word "*Reich*" fell victim to the turn away from the past. There was no possibility of even restoring the frontiers of 1937 let alone any form of *Reich*. The *Deutsches Reich* of the past, in all of its varied manifestations, became a central theme of the *Sonderweg* theory, used by historians up to the 1980s to explain the alleged peculiarity and particularity of German history which led German society to embrace dreams of world domination and to execute the Holocaust.

▲ 19

Despite intensive academic research since 1945 into the history of the medieval and early Holy Roman Empire, the *Reich* now lost the relevance for contemporary society that had been evident before then. Events such as the Hohenstaufen exhibitions of 1977 and 2010 attracted large visitor numbers, as did the medieval section of the Holy Roman Empire exhibition at Magdeburg in 2006. It is notable, however, that this apparent popular enthusiasm for medieval history was not matched by a similar interest in the early modern history of the *Reich*. The efforts of some historians to emphasise that it might be seen as a precursor to a united Europe and the growing academic consensus of the modernity of many aspects of the legal and political culture of the early modern *Reich* have made little impact outside the scholarly realm. It seems that the old historical master narratives of decline have remained remarkably persistent into the 21st century.

▲ 20

Joachim Whaley, Cambridge

## Appendix

### Literature

Aretin, Karl Otmar Freiherr von: Das Alte Reich 1648–1806, Stuttgart 1993–1997, vol. 1–3.

Aubin, Hermann: Volk und Reich der Deutschen bis zum Ende des Ersten Reiches, in: Walter Erbe et al. (ed.): Was bedeuten uns heute Volk, Nation, Reich?, Stuttgart 1988, pp. 66–90 (Schriftenreihe der Friedrich Naumann-Stiftung zur Politik und Zeitgeschichte Nr. 3).

Becker, Eva D.: Schiller in Deutschland 1781–1970, Frankfurt am Main et al. 1979.

Burgdorf, Wolfgang: Ein Weltbild verliert seine Welt: Der Untergang des Alten Reiches und die Generation 1806, Munich 2006.

Demandt, Alexander: Klassik als Klischee: Hitler und die Antike, in: Historische Zeitschrift 274 (2002), pp. 281–313.

Düding, Dieter: Organisierter gesellschaftlicher Nationalismus in Deutschland (1808–1847): Bedeutung und Funktion der Turner- und Sängervereine für die deutsche Nationalbewegung, Munich 1984.

Faulenbach, Bernd: Ideologie des deutschen Weges: Die deutsche Geschichte in der Historiographie zwischen Kaiserreich und Nationalsozialismus, Munich 1980.

Fehrenbach, Elisabeth: Wandlungen des deutschen Kaisergedankens (1871–1918), Munich et al. 1969.

Hahn, Hans-Werner: Der Deutsche Bund: Zukunftslose Vorstufe des kleindeutschen Nationalstaats oder entwicklungsfähige föderative Alternative?, in: Hans-Jürgen Becker (ed.), Zusammengesetzte Staatlichkeit in der Europäischen Verfassungsgeschichte, Berlin 2006, pp. 41–69.

Hahn, Hans-Werner: Vom Alten Reich zum Deutschen Bund. 1806 und die Suche nach einer politischen Neuordnung Deutschlands, in: Michael North (ed.), Das Ende des Alten Reiches im Ostseeraum: Wahrnehmungen und Transformationen, Cologne 2008, pp. 328–346.

Klenke, Dietmar: Der singende "deutsche Mann": Gesangvereine und deutsches Nationalbewusstsein von Napoleon bis Hitler, Münster 1998.

Kroll, Frank-Lothar: Die Reichsidee im Nationalsozialismus, in: Franz Bosbach et al (ed.), Imperium / Empire / Reich: Ein Konzept politischer Herrschaft im deutsch-britischen Vergleich, Munich 1999, pp. 179–196.

Langewiesche, Dieter: Das Alte Reich nach seinem Ende: Die Reichsidee in der deutschen Politik des 19. und frühen 20. Jahrhunderts: Versuch einer nationalgeschichtlichen Neubewertung in welthistorischer Perspektive, in: idem (ed.), Reich, Nation, Föderation: Deutschland und Europa, Munich 2008, pp. 211–234.

Langewiesche, Dieter: Kulturelle Nationsbildung im Deutschland des 19. Jahrhunderts, in: idem (ed.), Nation, Nationalismus und Nationalstaat in Deutschland und Europa, Munich 2000, pp. 82–102.

Langewiesche, Dieter: "für Volk und Vaterland kräftig zu wirken...": Zur politischen und gesellschaftlichen Rolle der Turner zwischen 1811 und 1871, in: idem (ed.), Nation, Nationalismus und Nationalstaat in Deutschland und Europa, Munich 2000, pp. 103–132.

Langewiesche, Dieter: Die schwäbische Sängerbewegung in der Gesellschaft des 19. Jahrhunderts: Ein Beitrag zur kulturellen Nationsbildung, in: idem (ed.), Nation, Nationalismus und Nationalstaat in Deutschland und Europa, Munich 2000, pp. 132–169.

Moraw, Peter et al.: Reich, in: Geschichtliche Grundbegriffe, vol. 5, Stuttgart 1984, pp. 423–508.

Neuhaus, Helmut: Das Reich in der Frühen Neuzeit, Munich 1997.

Noltenius, Rainer: Schiller als Führer und Heiland: Das Schillerfest 1859 als nationaler Traum der Geburt des zweiten Kaiserreichs, in: Düding, Dieter (ed.): Öffentliche Festkultur: Politische Feste in Deutschland von der Aufklärung bis zum Ersten Weltkrieg, Reinbek bei Hamburg 1988, pp. 237–258.

Nonn, Ulrich: Heiliges Römisches Reich deutscher Nation, in: Zeitschrift für historische Forschung 9 (1982), pp. 129–142.

Schmidt, Georg: Freiheit versus Fürstensouveränität: Das Alte Reich und die Anfänge des Deutschen Bundes, in: Ulman Weiß et al. (ed.), Historische Korrespondenzen: Festschrift für Dieter Stievermann zum 65. Geburtstag, Hamburg 2013, pp. 387–405.

Schmidt, Georg: Geschichte des Alten Reiches. Staat und Nation in der Frühen Neuzeit 1495–1806, Munich 1999.

Schreiner, Klaus: Führertum, Rasse, Reich: Wissenschaft von der Geschichte nach der nationalsozialistischen Machtergreifung, in: Peter Lundgreen (ed.), Wissenschaft im Dritten Reich, Frankfurt am Main 1985, pp. 163–252.

Schubert, Ernst: Einführung in die Grundprobleme der deutschen Geschichte im Spätmittelalter, Darmstadt 1992.

Tiedemann, Helmut: Der deutsche Kaisergedanke vor und nach dem Wiener Kongress, Breslau 1932.

Whaley, Joachim: Federal Habits: The Holy Roman Empire and the Continuity of German Federalism, in: Maiken Umbach (ed.) German Federalism: Past, Present and Future, Hounds Mills 2002, pp. 15–41.

Whaley, Joachim: Germany and the Holy Roman Empire, Oxford 2012, vol. 1: Maximilian I to the Peace of Westphalia: 1493–1648.

Whaley, Joachim: Germany and the Holy Roman Empire, Oxford 2012, vol. 2: From the Peace of Westphalia to the Dissolution of the Reich: 1648–1806.

Wolgast, Eike: Die Sicht des Alten Reiches bei Treitschke und Erdmannsdörffer, in: Matthias Schnettger (ed.): Imperium Romanum – Irregulare Corpus – Deutscher Reichsstaat: Das Alte Reich im Verständnis der Zeitgenossen und der Historiographie, Mainz 2002, pp. 169–88 (Veröffentlichungen des Instituts für Europäische Geschichte Mainz, Abteilung für Universalgeschichte, Beiheft 57).

## Notes

1. ^ Moraw, Reich 1984, pp. 428–430.
2. ^ Aubin, Volk 1988, p. 78.
3. ^ Schubert, Einführung 1992, p. 221.
4. ^ Wolgast, Sicht 2002, pp. 173–180, pp. 183–187; Faulenbach, Ideologie 1980, pp. 38–40.
5. ^ Schmidt, Geschichte 1999, pp. 40–44.
6. ^ Moraw, Reich 1984, pp. 446–456.
7. ^ Schmidt, Geschichte 1999, pp. 33–40.
8. ^ Neuhaus, Reich 1997, pp. 1–5.
9. ^ ibidem, pp. 57–58.
10. ^ In the light of contemporary experiences in the European Union, such procedures seem far from unusual, and even positive. Indeed some have even seen the Holy Roman Empire as a kind of precursor of a united Europe. Cf. Whaley, Federal Habits 2002, pp. 25, 34.
11. ^ Aretin, Altes Reich 1993–1997, vol. 1, pp. 38–41.
12. ^ Schmidt, Geschichte 1999, p. 10.
13. ^ Nonn, Reich 1982.
14. ^ Burgdorf, Weltbild 2006, pp. 154–155.
15. ^ Tiedemann, Kaisergedanke 1932, pp. 23–86; Hahn, Vom Alten Reich 2008.
16. ^ Hahn, Bund 2006; Schmidt, Freiheit 2013.
17. ^ Langewiesche, Nationsbildung 2000, pp. 86–87; Noltenius, Schiller 1988; Becker, Schiller 1979, pp. 50–72. On the significance of the choral and gymnastics societies for 19th-century German nationalism, see Düding, Nationalismus 1984; Klenke, Gesangvereine 1998, pp. 1–131; Langewiesche, Sängerbewegung 2000; Langewiesche, Turner 2000.
18. ^ Langewiesche, Altes Reich 2008, pp. 225–229; Fehrenbach, Wandlungen 1969.
19. ^ Kroll, Reichsidee 1999.
20. ^ Demandt, Klassik 2002.
21. ^ Schreiner, Führertum 1985, p. 202.

Editor: Andreas Gestrich  
Copy Editor: Claudia Falk

**Eingeordnet unter:**

Crossroads › Politische Räume\* › Politische Raumvorstellungen\* › Reich  
Crossroads › Political Spaces\* › Political Ideas of Regional Order\* › Reich

---

**Indices**

DDC: 320 , 943

**Locations**

Alsace DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4014500-1>)  
Austria DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4043271-3>)  
Bohemia DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4007467-5>)  
Burgundy DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4129468-3>)  
England DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4014770-8>)  
France DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4018145-5>)  
Franken DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4018093-1>)  
German Empire (1871-1945) DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/2008993-4>)  
Germany DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4011882-4>)  
Holy Roman Empire (-1806) DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/2035457-5>)  
Hungary DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4078541-5>)  
Italy DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4027833-5>)  
Lorraine DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4036377-6>)  
Magdeburg DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4036934-1>)  
Netherlands DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4042203-3>)  
Ottoman Empire DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4075720-1>)  
Provence DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4047564-5>)  
Prussia DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4047194-9>)  
Roman Empire DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4076778-4>)  
Roman Republic DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/812975-7>)  
Savoy DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4051850-4>)  
Saxony DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4051176-5>)  
Spain DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4055964-6>)  
Sundgau DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4058621-2>)  
Venice DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4062501-1>)  
West Germany (1949-1990) DNB ↗ (<http://d-nb.info/gnd/4011889-7>)

---

**Citation**

Whaley, Joachim: Reich, in: European History Online (EGO), published by the Leibniz Institute of European History (IEG), Mainz 2015-05-11. URL: <http://www.ieg-ego.eu/whaleyj-2015-en> URN: urn:nbn:de:0159-2015050522 [YYYY-MM-DD].

When quoting this article please add the date of your last retrieval in brackets after the url. When quoting a certain passage from the article please also insert the corresponding number(s), for example 2 or 1-4.

Export citation from: HeBIS Online Catalogue <http://cbsopac.rz.uni-frankfurt.de/DB=2.1/PPNSET?PPN=358839424>  
WorldCat <http://www.worldcat.org/oclc/908686077>

---

## Link #ab

- Charlemagne (747–814) VIAF <http://viaf.org/viaf/57406729> DNB <http://d-nb.info/gnd/118560034> ADB/NDB <http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd118560034.html>

## Link #ac

- Wittenberg Reformation (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/threads/european-media/european-media-events/marcel-nieden-the-wittenberg-reformation-as-a-media-event>)

## Link #ad

- Sigismund I. of Poland (1467–1548) VIAF <http://viaf.org/viaf/18018610> DNB <http://d-nb.info/gnd/118797158> ADB/NDB <http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd118797158.html>

## Link #ae

- Emperor Frederick III (1415–1493) VIAF <http://viaf.org/viaf/54312318> DNB <http://d-nb.info/gnd/118535773> ADB/NDB <http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd118535773.html>

## Link #af

- Ottoman History of South-East Europe (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/threads/models-and-stereotypes/from-the-turkish-menace-to-orientalism/markus-koller-ottoman-history-of-south-east-europe>)

## Link #ag

- Emperor Maximilian I (1459–1519) VIAF <http://viaf.org/viaf/293727145> DNB <http://d-nb.info/gnd/118579371> ADB/NDB <http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd118579371.html>

## Link #ah

- Charles the Bold of Burgundy (1433–1477) VIAF <http://viaf.org/viaf/84075206> DNB <http://d-nb.info/gnd/118560026> ADB/NDB <http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd118560026.html>

## Link #ai



- (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/de/mediainfo/bartholomeus-van-der-helst-161320131670-banquet-at-the-crossbowmens-guild-in-celebration-of-the-treaty-of-muenster-1648>)  
Bartholomeus van der Helst (1613–1670), Banquet at the Crossbowmen's Guild in Celebration of the Treaty of Münster, 1648

## Link #aj

- Law (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/threads/backgrounds/law/martin-otto-law>)

## Link #ak

- Legal Families (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/threads/crossroads/legal-families/barbara-doelemeyer-legal-families>)

#### Link #an



-  (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/mediainfo/rudolf-von-habsburg-121820131291-monument-at-speyer-cathedral>)

Rudolf of Habsburg (1218–1291): Monument at Speyer Cathedral

#### Link #ao



-  (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/de/mediainfo/peter-von-cornelius-178320131867-joseph-interprets-the-dreams-of-the-pharaoh-181620131817>)

Peter von Cornelius (1783–1867), Joseph interprets the dreams of the Pharaoh, 1816–1817

#### Link #ap

- Revolutionary and Napoleonic Wars (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/threads/alliances-and-wars/war-as-an-agent-of-transfer/frederick-c-schneid-the-french-revolutionary-and-napoleonic-wars>)

#### Link #aq

- Napoleon Bonaparte (1769–1821) VIAF  (<http://viaf.org/viaf/106964661>) DNB  (<http://dnb.info/gnd/118586408>) ADB/NDB  (<http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd118586408.html>)



-  (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/mediainfo/test-napoleon>)

Napoleon Bonaparte (1769–1821)

#### Link #ar



-  (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/de/mediainfo/hermann-the-cheruscan-and-turnvater-jahn-as-patrons-the-wuertemberg-turnfest-in-reutlingen-1845>)

"Hermann the Cheruscan" and "Turnvater Jahn" as patrons: The Württemberg Turnfest in Reutlingen, 1845

#### Link #as

- Friedrich Schiller (1759–1805) VIAF  (<http://viaf.org/viaf/96994450>) DNB  (<http://dnb.info/gnd/118607626>) ADB/NDB  (<http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd118607626.html>)

## Link #at



- [Monument of Friedrich Schiller \(1759–1805\), Gendarmenmarkt, Berlin](http://www.ieg-ego.eu/de/mediainfo/monument-of-friedrich-schiller-175920131805-gendarmenmarkt-berlin)

## Link #au

- Otto von Bismarck (1815–1898) VIAF [↗](http://viaf.org/viaf/46772111) DNB [↗](http://d-nb.info/gnd/11851136X) ADB/NDB [↗](http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd11851136X.html)

## Link #av

- Emperor William II (1859–1941) VIAF [↗](http://viaf.org/viaf/73848792) DNB [↗](http://d-nb.info/gnd/118632892) ADB/NDB [↗](http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd118632892.html)

## Link #aw

- Colonialism and Imperialism (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/threads/backgrounds/colonialism-and-imperialism/benedikt-stuchtey-colonialism-and-imperialism-1450-1950>)

## Link #ax

- European Overseas Rule (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/threads/europe-and-the-world/european-overseas-rule/reinhard-wendt-european-overseas-rule>)

## Link #ay



- [Austria's "Anschluss" in 1938](http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/mediainfo/austrias-anchluss-in-1938)

## Link #az

- Adolf Hitler (1889–1945) VIAF [↗](http://viaf.org/viaf/38190770) DNB [↗](http://d-nb.info/gnd/118551655) ADB/NDB [↗](http://www.deutsche-biographie.de/pnd118551655.html)

## Link #b0

- Racism (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/en/threads/europe-and-the-world/racism/boris-barth-racism>)

## Link #b1

- Flucht und Vertreibung (1938–1950) (<http://www.ieg-ego.eu/de/threads/europa-unterwegs/ethnische-zwangsmigration/detlef-brandes-flucht-und-vertreibung-1938-1950>)